



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/736,896	10/25/1996	CHRISTOPHER G.M. KEN	290252016600	4538	
75	590 08/27/2003				
BINGHAM, MCCUTCHEN			EXAMINER		
THREE EMBARCADERO, SUITE 1800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-4067			BUI, V	BUI, VY Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731	40	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	/ /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Application No. Applicant(s) 08/736,896 KEN ET AL. **Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** Vy Q. Bui 3731 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2003 (#48) FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): objection of claim 13 for new subject matter. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-4, 10, 12, 17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: mhu

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Part of Paper No. 49



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as now amended do not provide any additional structural limitation to clearly define the invention over the prior at of reference.

Application/Control Number: 08/736,896

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 10, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DIBIE et al (5,531,788).

As to claims 1, 3, 4 and 10, DIBIE (Figs. 1-3, 5-6 and 9; col. 89, lines 25-28) discloses a flexible helical coil 1 for use with a wire 19. Coil 1 has coupling member 6 detachably interlocked to wire 19 (Fig. 5-6 and 9), a winding or a multiplicity of windings 3 having a 1st diameter (shown as d3 in Fig. 3 and disclosed in col. 8, lines 25-28), and windings of 2nd diameter (d2 or d4 shown in Fig. 3) smaller than 1st diameter at the

Art Unit: 3731

distal and proximal end of the coil. Alternatively, DIBIE does not show a multiplicity of windings 3 of 1st diameter in Fig. 3, however, according to the disclosure (col. 8, lines 25-28), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a multiplicity of windings 3 such as two or three windings 3 as this configuration would be well within the scope of DIBIE's invention.

As to claims 12 and 17, DIBIE (col. 7, lines 14-15) discloses 1st diameter (d3) of about 27mm, which is within the range as claimed.

Vy Bri 08/0/2003